1 FILED 2 3 JUN 2 3 2014 4 SPOKANE COUNTY CLERK 5 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SPOKANE 8 MITCHELL, ARCHIE and STORMIE 9 MITCHELL, husband and wife. 10 No.: 2013-02-01539-5 Plaintiffs. 11 **DEFENDANT PAUL SALERNO'S (PRO** VS. SE) ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DURHEIM, GREG and JANE DOE 13 DURHEIM, husband and wife; CAROL 14 GROVES and JOHN DOE GROVES, wife and) husband, and WINDERMERE/MANITO. 15 LLC, a Washington limited liability company;) and PAUL SALERNO and JANE DOE 16 SALERNO (HEREINAFTER KIRANA SALAMAT), husband and wife. 17 18 Defendant, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat in response to Plaintiff's Complaint 19 answers as follows: 20 21 I. 22 In response to paragraphs 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, Defendant Paul Salerno, and Kirana 23 Salamat are without sufficient information to form a belief and therefore deny the same. 24 In response to paragraph 1.5, Defendants Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat admit the 25 same, however, they were not married until January 11th, 2013, and were not married at the time 26 of the purchase and sale agreement. 27 28 DEFENDANT PAUL SALERNO'S (PRO SE) ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIM- 1

II. 1 In response to paragraph 2.1, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat admit that 2 venue and jurisdiction are proper and deny the remaining allegations. 3 4 III. 5 In response to paragraphs 3.1, 3.2, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat were 6 without sufficient information to form a belief and therefore deny the same. 7 In response to paragraphs 3.3, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat deny the 8 same. 9 In response to paragraphs 3.4, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat are without 10 11 sufficient information to form a belief and therefore deny the same. 12 In response to paragraphs 3.5, 3.6, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat admit. 13 In response to paragraphs 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 3.11 Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana 14 Salamat are without sufficient information to form a belief and therefore deny the same. 15 IV. 16 17 In response to paragraphs 4.1, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat are without 18 sufficient information to form a belief and therefore deny the same. 19 V. 20 In response to paragraphs 5.1, 5.2 Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat are 21 without sufficient information to form a belief and therefore deny the same. 22 VI. 23 24 In response to paragraph 6.1, 6.2, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat 25 are without sufficient information to form a belief and therefore deny the same. 26 27 28 DEFENDANT PAUL SALERNO'S (PRO SE) ANSWER TO **COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIM-2**

VII. 1 In response to paragraph 7.1, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat deny 2 3 the same. 4 5 6 DATED this 23day of JUNE, 2014. 7 8 PAUL SALERNO, PRO SE 9 Defendant 10 11 Having fully answered, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat asserts the following Counterclaim: 12 13 **COUNTERCLAIM** 14 I. 15 Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys, have violated R.P.C. 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4 because there is no basis in law and fact, the action is frivolous and there is no basis in this case to modify 16 existing law. 17 II. 18 WHEREFORE, as Plaintiffs and Plaintiff's counsel are in violation of R.P.C. 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4, Defendants have suffered damages in the form of attorney fees and costs. Having fully answered 19 the Complaint and having set out a Counterclaim, Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat, pro se seeks a Judgment as follows: 20 21 1. A dismissal of all of Plaintiff's claims against Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana 22 Salamat. 23 2. Recovery of any costs of Defendants, Paul Salerno, and Kirana Salamat and statutory 24 attorney fees reasonable attorney s based upon the theory that there is no reasonable basis to bring this action against Defendants Paul Salerno and his wife Kirana Salamat. 25 26 27 28 DEFENDANT PAUL SALERNO'S (PRO SE) ANSWER TO

COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIM-3

 DATED THIS 23 day of JUNE, 2014.

Paul Salerno

Notacy Public State of Washington CHERYL A BZDAWKA My Appointment Expires Jun 27, 2014

NOTARY PUBLIC for the State of

Washington, residing in Spokane
My commission expires on Tune 27,2014

DEFENDANT PAUL SALERNO'S (PRO SE) ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIM- 4